Miriam Koopman,¹ Rocio Garcia-Carbonero,² Carmine Pinto,³ Andrey Mitroshkin,⁴ György Bodoky,⁵ Laurent Mineur,⁶ Vincent Bourgeois,⁷ Marzia Mare,⁸ Ana Ruiz-Casado,⁹ Ana Fernandez Montes,¹⁰ Juan Manuel O'Connor,¹¹ Adam Sullivan,¹² Elias Choucair,¹³ Marjolaine Sicaire,¹³ Francisca Marti Marti,¹⁴ Jean-Baptiste Bachet¹⁵

INTRODUCTION

Trust, 550 Wilmslow Road, Manchester M20 4BX, UK; 15. Sorbonne Université, Service d'hépato-Gastro-Entérologie, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié Salpêtrière, APHP, Paris, France.

- The primary treatment goal for patients with unresectable metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC) is tumour shrinkage and disease control with preservation or improvement of quality of life¹
- Clinical emphasis lies in avoidance of rapid disease evolution, and prolonging survival¹
- Advances in mCRC treatment have now improved median overall survival (OS) to 30 months in clinical trials¹
- PROMETCO (NCT03935763) is the first international, prospective real-world study to investigate the continuum of care in the mCRC patient population, collecting data on all patients with two disease progressions, regardless of treatment or age

AIMS

- To present preliminary real-world clinical characteristics and treatment patterns of 738 patients with mCRC that have progressed twice on previous treatment
- To show OS and progression-free survival (PFS) of the 655/738 mCRC patients that have completed the study

METHODS

- Enrolment in PROMETCO started in March 2019:
- Inclusion criteria: adult patients with two disease progressions since the first diagnosis of mCRC, who were willing to receive subsequent treatment, were included
- **Exclusion criteria**: patients enrolled in other clinical trials, receiving treatment for other cancers or those with reduced mental capacity were excluded
- At enrolment, patient data such as clinical characteristics, medical history and treatment from first mCRC diagnosis were collected retrospectively using electronic case report forms and the ClinInfo electronic data capture system²
- Patients were assessed prospectively for up to 18 months or until withdrawal or death
- Kaplan-Meier calculations were used for analysis of OS and PFS in the 655 mCRC patients that had completed the study

TAKE-HOME MESSAGES

- These data describing patient characteristics, survival and treatment patterns in the real world, are consistent with clinical trial observations and current ESMO guidelines
- In this well-defined cohort of patients who have had two progressions of disease before inclusion in PROMETCO, median OS was 36.4 months
- Recent clinical trial data suggest that further improvements in OS compared to those observed in PROMETCO are possible with third-line combination therapy³
- The difference in duration between mCRC diagnosis and **PROMETCO** inclusion, and treatment before **PROMETCO** inclusion, suggests the use of treatment breaks in the real world

OVERALL AND PROGRESSION-FREE SURVIVAL OF PATIENTS WITH METASTATIC COLORECTAL CANCER: A REAL-WORLD PROSPECTIVE, LONGITUDINAL COHORT STUDY ON THE CONTINUUM OF CARE (PROMETCO)

Baseline characteristics

- For this analysis, baseline characteristics from 738 mCRC patients from 18 countries were collected (Table 1)
- Median total duration under treatment before PROMETCO inclusion was 13.2 (minimum 0.5, maximum 101.6) months, while median time between mCRC diagnosis and inclusion was 22.3 (minimum 3.4, maximum 214.9)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics

Age, years	
Median (min, max)	67.0 (31.0, 87.0)
Sex, n (%)	
Female / male	302 / 433 (41.1 / 58.9)
ECOG PS [¶] , %	
0-1	92.3
Time between mCRC diagnosis and PROMETCO inclusion (months)	
Median (min, max)	22.3 (3.4, 214.9)
Total duration under treatment before PROMETCO inclusion (months)	
Median (min, max)	13.2 (0.5, 101.6)
Number of metastatic sites, n (%) [‡]	
<3 / ≥3	659 (89.8) / 75 (10.2)
Type of metastasis, n (%)	
Synchronous / metachronous	480 (65.3) / 255 (34.7)
Disease sidedness, n (%) [¥]	
Left (descending colon/sigmoid colon)	311 (42.4)
Right (cecum + ascending colon/transverse colon)	207 (28.2)
Rectum	262 (35.7)
RAS/BRAF status, n (%)*	
RAS mut	361 (48.9)
BRAFmut	37 (5.0)
RAS/BRAFWT	236 (32.0)
	95 (12.9)
MSI/MSS status, n (%)	
NSI NIGN	12 (1.6)
	20 (3.5)
IVI33 Linknown	405 (54.9)
Distribution of metastatic sites n (%)	295 (40.0)
Liver	5/11 (73-3)
	341(73.3)
Paritanaal carcinosis	205 (30.0)
Bono	105(14.2)
Adrenal aland	29 (3.9)
Alleria gialia Athora	22 (0.0) 150 (01 1)
Percentage based on n observed per group (i.e., not including ND values: n=716): ¥n=734 due to missing data: *9 patien	ts had RAS & BRAF mutations: α. brain
and skin metastases included in 'other'; Abbreviations : ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance sta	atus; max, maximum; mCRC, metastatic

Treatment/surgery during treatment pathway

 Most patients were exposed to fluoropyrimidine (98.5%), irinotecan (96.2%), oxaliplatin (88.4%), anti-VEGF therapy (78.7%) and trifluridine/tipuracil (75.2%) between mCRC diagnosis until death or withdrawal from the study (**Table 2**) • 64.6% of the patients had colorectal surgery, and 22.9% had liver surgery

Table 2. Treatment/surgery during treatment pathway

	the the second s	
0		
655 649 541 380 246 150 99 72 52	40 28 20 16 10 7 5 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 0	
0 50	100 150 200	
Su	Survival time (months)	
OS	ΑΠ	
Event $p(9/)$	550 (84)	
	550 (64)	
Censored, n (%)	105 (16)	
Median (95% CI), months	36.4 (33.7-37.8)	M
Min, max, months	7.8, 226.9	M
θ pembrolizumab, nivolumab, avelumab, atezolizumab or encorafenib + cetuximab; * to be confirmed; Abbreviations : 5-FU, fluorouracil; EGFR, epidermal growth factor. N=655. Abbreviations : CI, confidence interval; min, minimum; max, maximum; OS, overall survival		
	$ \begin{array}{c} $	$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$

This study is sponsored by Servier Affaires Médicales, France. Editorial assistance was provided by Nicola Lander and Emily Eagles of Empowering Strategic Performance Ltd, and supported by Servier



OS from mCRC diagnosis

Median progression-free survival (months)

date of treatment line; End, Event (date of PD)/Event (date of death)/Censor (end of line of treatment)/Censor (end

• OS was calculated for patients from mCRC diagnosis to the end of the study (Figure 2)

66 (0.03, 44.68) 500 events

166 events

31 events

5 events

6 (0.10, 28.42)

(0.13, 12,75)

(0.92, 3.88)

1 event

4th

5th

6th

7th 1 41

min, minimum; max, maximum

of line of treatment)/Censor (end of last available day)

• The median OS from mCRC diagnosis was 36.4 months (95% CI: 33.7-37.8), which is higher than the median OS seen in clinical trials¹

Figure 2. OS in patients with mCRC from diagnosis to the end of the study



PROMETCO

Presented at ESMO Congress, October 20-24, 2023 Madrid, Spain

Final publication number: 604P

0.1, 46.6

OS from inclusion into PROMETCO

• OS was calculated for patients from inclusion into PROMETCO, i.e., after second disease progression, to the end of the study (Figure 3) • The median OS from second disease progression was 7.06 months (95% CI: 6.5-7.6)

Figure 3. OS in patients with mCRC from second disease progression and inclusion into PROMETCO to the end of the study



Min, max, months

N=655. Abbreviations: CI. confidence interval: min. minimum: max. maximum: OS. overall survival

OS from 3rd treatment line

• OS was calculated for patients from the start of third-line treatment to the end of the study (**Figure 4**)

• The median OS after starting third-line therapy was 6.64 months (95% CI: 6.2-

7.4), which is shorter than the median OS from inclusion in PROMETCO,

reflecting the time difference between second progression and the start of thirdline treatment

Figure 4. OS in patients with mCRC from third treatment line to the end of the study



=655. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; min, minimum; max, maximum; OS, overall survival

speaker roles for Pierre Fabre, MSD, Baver, Servier, Invited Speaker for Merck, BMS, Servier, has received research grants from Servier, Roche, Baver, Bristol Mvers Squibb, Merck, F

^{1.} Van Cutsem E, Cervantes A, Adam R, et al. Ann Oncol. 2016;27(8):1386–1422. 2. Koopman M, Pinto C, Bodoky G, et al. Future Oncol. 2022;18(11):1313-1320. 3. Prager GW, Taieb J, Fakih, et al. N Engl J Med. 2023;388(18):1657-1667.